

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

TRUTEK CORP.,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 4:21-cv-10312

v.

Hon. F. Kay Behm

BLUEWILLOW BIOLOGICS, INC., et.
al.

Defendants.

**PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO REPLY TO
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE EXPERT WITNESSES**

Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant Trutek Corp through their undersigned counsel, respectfully move for an order extending the deadlines to reply to Defendants Motions to Exclude Expert Witness Testimony by an additional thirty (30) days.

On March 15, 2023, Defendant/Counter-Plaintiff Bluewillow Biologics, Inc. (hereinafter “Defendant”) filed a Motion to Exclude the Expert Reports and Testimonies of Alexei Ermakov and Shane Burns. On that same day, Defendant filed a Motion to Exclude the Expert Report and Testimony of Amirali Y. Haidri. Subsequently on March 28, 2023, Defendant filed a Motion for Summary Judgement. Sometime afterwards, the parties conferred, and they agreed that an additional fourteen days beyond the statutory limitation would be granted for Plaintiff to Respond to Defendant’s dispositive and non-dispositive motions. A stipulated motion was made, and the Court approved this agreement. In this motion and order, no exact due dates were given, and Plaintiff was under the

impression that Motions to Exclude Expert Witnesses were due twenty-one days after service because they were essentially all dispositive motions with a direct connection to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgement. Under Local Rule 7.1(e)(2), Responses to Motions for Summary Judgement, and for injunctive relief are due within twenty-one days of service. Thus, the due date for Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Motions to Exclude Expert Witnesses would have been due on April 20, 2023. Counsel for Plaintiff communicated with Counsel for Defendant, who does not agree to an extension for Plaintiff to respond to the Motions to Exclude Expert Witnesses. Counsel for Defendant stated her opinion that the due date for the Motions to Exclude Expert Witnesses has expired.

Plaintiff requests this extension due to extenuating circumstances. On April 15, 2023, Lead-Counsel for Plaintiff, Stanley Kremen, Esq., required immediate and necessary hospitalization related to a cardiac issue. Prior to Mr. Kremen's hospitalization he was suffering from an ongoing cardiac ailment that severely affected his ability to work (Plaintiff is willing to provide a letter from Mr. Kremen's Cardiologist upon request.) Despite his illness, Mr. Kremen dedicated significant time and energy into responding to Defendant's Motions to Exclude.

If Plaintiff is not permitted to respond to this motion, Plaintiff will suffer extreme prejudice, which would represent unfair administration of justice. Conversely if this motion is granted, Defendant will suffer no prejudice whatsoever.

If the Court does take the position that Counsel for Plaintiff inadvertently and incorrectly calculated the deadline to respond to Defendant's Motions to Exclude Expert

Witnesses, Counsel for Plaintiff apologizes for said error, and requests leave from the Court to provide a Response to Defendant's Motions in order to prevent unfair prejudice.

Due to the seriousness and severity of Mr. Kremen's health problems and the time needed to recover and to provide adequate representation, Plaintiff hereby requests that the Court grant an extension of thirty days to respond to Defendant's Motions to Exclude Expert Witnesses. The granting of this order will have the following effect: Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Motions to Exclude Expert Witnesses shall be due on May 22, 2023.

Dated: April 20, 2023

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Stanley H. Kremen
Stanley H. Kremen, Esq.
4 Lenape Lane
East Brunswick, NJ 08816
Tel: (732) 593-7294
Fax: (732) 312-5218
shk@shk-dplc.com



Keith Altman, Esq.
The Law Office of Keith Altman
33228 West 12 Mile Road, Suite 375
Farmington Hills, Michigan 48334
Telephone: (987) 987-8929
keithaltman@kaltmanlaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

TRUTEK CORP.,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 4:21-cv-10312

v.

Hon. F. Kay Behm

BLUEWILLOW BIOLOGICS, INC., et.
al.

Defendants.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on April 20, 2023, I served the foregoing Motion to Extend Time upon all parties herein by filing copies of same using the ECF System.



Keith Altman, Esq.